# Localized vibrations and standing waves in anharmonic lattices

Jacob Szeftel<sup>1</sup> and Pascal Laurent<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Laboratoire Léon Brillouin (CEA-CNRS), Centre d'Etudes de Saclay, 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette Cédex, France <sup>2</sup>Ecole Centrale, Grande rue des Vignes, 92295 Chatenay-Malabry, France

(Received 21 February 1997; revised manuscript received 17 September 1997)

A sequence of nonlinear, time-dependent second order differential equations describing the motion of an infinite one-dimensional periodic lattice of arbitrary anharmonicity is considered. It is converted to an equivalent time-independent integrodifferential system, solved for all localized vibrational modes and standing waves. As illustrated by several examples this approach provides an accurate and efficient computational tool. An existence criterion to be satisfied by the potential is worked out for the considered vibrational modes. [S1063-651X(98)05001-6]

PACS number(s): 03.20+i, 63.20.Pw, 63.20.Ry

# I. INTRODUCTION

Unlike a harmonic, spatially periodic lattice, an anharmonic one can sustain breathers, that is, time-periodic, spatially localized vibrational modes even without broken periodicity. Breathers and standing waves experience currently a budding interest because they are believed to be instrumental in energy localization or equipartition and heat transfer [1-4]. The problem has been mostly tackled from a classical point of view but a quantum mechanical treatment has also been published [5].

As the KAM theorem is ill suited here because it produces many, rather than single, time period solutions, early attempts have resorted to approximate expansions [6,7]. Significant progress was achieved when the existence of breathers could be proved [8] in the uncoupled oscillator limit and the method was turned into a practical tool [9]. However, the problem of the existence of breathers remains unsolved in the general case, i.e., for plenty of models where no uncoupled oscillator limit is available.

The method presented here affords the determination of all breathers and standing waves for the most general anharmonic potential. The key point is to work out the restoring force at each site as a function of the displacement at this site only, which means that integrable modes of the anharmonic model are achieved in this way. Not only does this provide the frequency and the vibrational amplitude at every site for all integrable breathers and standing waves but it also enables us to work out an existence condition to be obeyed by the potential for these vibrational modes to arise.

## **II. THE METHOD**

Let us consider an infinite chain of oscillators coupled by a pair potential  $V = \sum_i W(u_i, u_{i+1})$  where  $u_i$  designates the displacement of site *i* and *i* takes all positive and negative integer values.  $W(u_i, u_{i+1})$  is assumed to be symmetric with respect to  $u_i$  and  $u_{i+1}$  but is otherwise an arbitrary anharmonic function. The equations of motion read

$$\frac{d^2u_i}{dt^2} = -\frac{\partial V}{\partial u_i} = f(u_{i-1}, u_i, u_{i+1}), \tag{1}$$

where t stands for time. Breathers and standing waves are characterized respectively by  $u_i \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\forall t$  for  $i \rightarrow \infty$  and  $u_{i+p}(t) = u_i(t)$ ,  $\forall i, t$  where the integer p denotes the wave-length.  $W(u_i, u_{i+1})$  is chosen so that f(x, y, z) has one equilibrium position at x=y=z=0, which entails that f(0,0,0)=0. The vibrational amplitude of oscillator *i* is assigned to  $a_i^+ > 0$ ,  $a_i^- < 0$ , i.e., the velocity  $du_i/dt$  vanishes when  $u_i$  reaches the values  $a_i^{\pm}$ . This work is concerned with all vibrational modes, such that  $u_i = 0$ ,  $u_i = a_i^+$ , and  $u_i = a_i^$ at t=0,  $t=T^+>0$  and  $t=T^-<0$  for every *i*, respectively. Equation (1) is time reversible, i.e., neither t nor  $du_i/dt$ appear explicitly therein. Consequently  $u_i(2T^{\pm}-t)$  $=u_i(t), \quad \forall i,t.$  It suffices thence to confine oneself to the range  $T^{-} < t < T^{+}$ . As the lattice is periodic, f(x, y, z) does not exhibit any explicit *i* dependence. If f(x,y,z) were linear in x, y, z, thanks to the Bloch-Floquet theorem the relation  $u_{i+1}(t) = ru_i(t)$  would hold for any t where r is generally a complex number. Thus  $u_{i+1}$  can be expressed as a function of  $u_i$  by dropping any t dependence. The present work extends this result to the nonlinear case by looking for the unknown function  $g_i$  defined so that  $u_{i+1} = g_i(u_i)$ . The main difference with the linear case is that  $g_i$  depends explicitly on *i*, unlike the Bloch phase shift *r*, even though the lattice is periodic but the following analysis is valid for a nonperiodic lattice too. Having  $g_i$  at hand enables one to apply the kinetic energy theorem as in the single oscillator case and thus to express each velocity  $du_i/dt$  as a function of  $u_i$  only. Further integration yields time t as a function of  $u_i$ .

The function  $u_i(t)$  is assumed to be monotonous versus t for every i inside the range  $T^- < t < T^+$  so that each  $u_i(t)$  can be inverted to give t versus  $u_i$ . This ensures that there is a one-to-one mapping  $t \rightarrow u_i \rightarrow u_{i+1}$ , which in turn warrants the existence of the functions  $g_i$  and  $g_i^{-1}$  defined as  $u_{i+1}(t) = g_i(u_i(t))$  and  $u_i(t) = g_i^{-1}(u_{i+1}(t))$ . In addition, it is assumed that  $u_i(t=0)=0$  for every i, which entails by virtue of the definition of  $g_i$  that  $g_i(0) = g_i^{-1}(0) = 0$ . The system of Eqs. (1) is then recast into

$$\frac{d^2 u_i}{dt^2} = h_i(u_i), \quad h_i(x) = f(g_{i-1}^{-1}(x), x, g_i(x)).$$
(2)

<u>57</u> 1134

TABLE I. Vibrational amplitudes  $a_i$  and initial velocities  $v_i$  for a breather sustained by potential  $W_1$ .

i	0	1	2	3	4
$\overline{a_i}$	8.6665	4.6692	0.39029	2.2326E-4	4.1794 <i>E</i> -14
$v_i$	100	-53.876	4.5034	-2.5762E-3	4.8225E - 13

The kinetic energy theorem is applied to Eq. (2) to yield the velocity  $du_i/dt$ :

$$\frac{du_i}{dt} = \pm \sqrt{e_i(u_i(t))}, \quad e_i(x) = (v_i)^2 + 2\int_0^x h_i(y) dy, \quad (3)$$

where  $v_i = (du_i/dt)(0)$  is the initial velocity of oscillator *i*. The differential equation in Eq. (3) is then integrated to give

$$t = \pm \int_0^{u_i} \frac{dx}{\sqrt{e_i(x)}},\tag{4}$$

where + or - signs refer to t>0 and t<0, respectively. Since the system of Eqs. (1) is assumed to have a restoring force, there is  $a_i^{\pm}$  such that  $e_i(a_i^{\pm})=0$ . Moreover the following value is ascribed to  $T^{\pm}$ :

$$T^{\pm} = \int_{0}^{a_{i}^{\pm}} \frac{dx}{\sqrt{e_{i}(x)}}, \quad T = 2(T^{+} - T^{-}), \tag{5}$$

where *T* stands for the time period. That the relations  $e_i(a_i^{\pm}) = 0$  and  $a_{i+1}^{\pm} = g_i(a_i^{\pm})$  hold for every *i* ensures that the values of  $T^+, T^-, T$  can be calculated as well by selecting any *i* value in Eq. (5).

Applying Eq. (4) to i and i+1 gives

$$\int_{0}^{u_{i}} \frac{dx}{\sqrt{e_{i}(x)}} = \int_{0}^{u_{i+1}=g_{i}(u_{i})} \frac{dx}{\sqrt{e_{i+1}(x)}}.$$
 (6)

Differentiating Eq. (6) with respect to  $u_i$  results in

$$\frac{du_{i+1}}{du_i} = \frac{dg_i}{du_i} = \sqrt{\frac{e_{i+1}(u_{i+1})}{e_i(u_i)}},$$
(7)

where  $u_{i+1} = g_i(u_i)$ . The system of Eqs. (7) is complemented by a matching equation taken at site i=0:

$$\frac{du_1}{du_0} = \frac{dg_0}{du_0} = \sqrt{\frac{e_1(u_1)}{e_0(u_0)}}, \quad h_0(x) = f(g_0(x), x, g_0(x)),$$
(8)

which ensures that  $u_i(t) = u_{-i}(t)$  for every *i* and *t*. An additional matching equation is required in case of a standing wave:

$$\frac{du_n}{du_{n-1}} = \frac{dg_{n-1}}{du_{n-1}} = \sqrt{\frac{e_n(u_n)}{e_{n-1}(u_{n-1})}},$$
$$h_n(x) = f(g_{n-1}^{-1}(x), x, g_n(x)), \tag{9}$$

where  $u_{n-i}(t) = u_{n+i}(t)$ ,  $g_n(x) = g_{n-1}^{-1}(x)$  or  $u_{n-i}(t) = u_{n+i+1}(t)$ ,  $g_n(x) = x$  for the wave-lengths p = 2n or p = 2n+1, respectively. The system to be solved reads finally:

TABLE II. Vibrational amplitudes  $a_i$  and initial velocities  $v_i$  for a breather sustained by potential  $W_2$ .

i	0	1	2	3	4
a <sub>i</sub>	0.89182	0.60287	0.10361	5.5163 <i>E</i> – 4	8.2879 <i>E</i> – 11
v <sub>i</sub>	0.94809	0.62966	0.10607	5.5769 <i>E</i> – 4	8.3565 <i>E</i> – 11

$$\frac{dg_i}{du_i} = \sqrt{\frac{e_{i+1} \circ g_i(u_i)}{e_i(u_i)}}, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, n,$$
(10)

where for breathers *n* is assigned to so big a value that  $a_n^+, |a_n^-|$  are both smaller than the required accuracy. The system of first order differential equations (10) is known to have a single solution  $\{g_0, g_1, \ldots, g_n\}$  if it is integrated with the initial condition  $g_i(0)=0, \forall i$ . The solution depends implicitly on the set of initial velocities  $\{v_{i=0,1,\ldots,n}\}$  via the definition of  $e_i(u_i)$  in Eqs. (3). Each  $v_i$  must be matched such that  $du_i/dt(T^{\pm})=0$ . Because of  $du_i/dt(T^{\pm})=\sqrt{e_i(a_i^{\pm})}$  due to Eqs. (3),(5), the system of Eqs. (10) must be solved under the constraints  $e_i(a_i^{\pm})=0$  and  $a_{i+1}^{\pm}=g_i(a_i^{\pm})$  for every *i*.

### **III. EXISTENCE CRITERION**

The second derivative  $[d^2g_i/d(u_i)^2](0)$  is found to vanish for every *i*. Actually the lowest integer j > 1 such that  $\left[\frac{d^{j}g_{i}}{d(u_{i})^{j}}\right](0) \neq 0$  is equal to k+2 where k is the smallest integer such that  $\left[ \frac{\partial^k f}{\partial (u)^k} \right] (0,0,0) \neq 0$  where u = x, y, or z and f(x,y,z) is defined in Eq. (1). Consequently the exact identity  $g_i(u_i) = dg_i/du_i(0)u_i$  in the case of a harmonic potential remains an excellent approximation for an anharmonic one too, even for  $|u_i|$  as big as  $|a_i^{\pm}|$ . Likewise the relation  $u_{i+1}(t) = g_i(u_i(t))$  implies that  $r_i = (dg_i/du_i)(0)$ =  $[du_{i+1}/dt](0)/[du_i/dt](0)$ . Thus the bigger the integer k, that is, the more anharmonic the potential is, regardless of its magnitude, the less the  $g_i(x)$ 's deviate from a linear law  $g_i(x) = r_i x$ , which ensures in particular  $a_{i+1}^{\pm} \approx r_i a_i^{\pm}$ . This feature enables us to recast the integrodifferential system of equations (10) into an equivalent system of ordinary equations:

$$\tilde{e}_i(v_i, a_i^{\pm}) = 0, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, n,$$
 (11)

where  $\tilde{e}_i(v_i, a_i^{\pm})$  is calculated by inserting  $g_{i-1}^{-1}(x) = x/r_{i-1}$ ,  $g_i(x) = r_i x$  into  $e_i(x)$  in Eq. (3) and assuming  $v_i = r_{i-1}v_{i-1}$ ,  $a_i^{\pm} = r_{i-1}a_{i-1}^{\pm}$ ; the unknowns are  $a_0^{\pm}$ ,  $r_{i=0,1,\ldots,n-1}$ , and  $v_0$  is the only disposable parameter.

Because we are interested in a localized mode, that is,  $|a_i^{\pm}| < |a_{i-1}^{\pm}|$ , Eqs. (11) are solved for a decreasing sequence  $\{v_{i=0,1,\ldots,n}\}$  such that  $|v_i| < |v_{i-1}|$ . We use a Newton's

TABLE III. Vibrational amplitudes  $a_i$  and initial velocities  $v_i$  for a breather sustained by potential  $W_3$ .

i	0	1	2	3	4
$a_i$	1.8079	0.36855	7.3857E - 2	1.4796E - 2	2.8544E - 3
$v_i$	3.0512	-0.68933	0.1398	-2.8039E-2	5.4096E - 3

TABLE IV. Vibrational amplitudes  $a_i$  and initial velocities  $v_i$  for a p=8 standing wave sustained by potential  $W_3$ .

i	0	1	2	3	4
$a_i$	1.808	0.36857 - 0.68937	7.3978 <i>E</i> – 2	1.5416E - 2	5.9476E - 3
$v_i$	3.0513		0.14003	-2.9213E - 2	1.1272E - 2

method based iterative procedure starting with n=2 and increasing *n* repeatedly by 1 until *n* reaches the value requested by Eq. (10). The values resulting from step *n* are used as estimates to launch iteration n+1.

The property that  $v_i \rightarrow 0$  for  $i \rightarrow \infty$  implies that at large enough *i* one has  $|r_i| \ll 1$  and the pair potential  $W(u_{i-1}, u_i)$ can be approximated near  $u_i = 0$  by keeping only the leading power in its Taylor expansion. Writing then the equation of motion (1) results in

$$W(u_{i-1}, u_i) = u_i^{m-l+1} u_{i-1}^l + u_i^l u_{i-1}^{m-l+1} \Longrightarrow \frac{d^2 u_i}{dt^2}$$
$$= h(u_i) = -(m+1) \frac{u_i^m}{r_{i-1}^l}, \qquad (12)$$

where we take  $m-2l+1 \le 0$ ,  $u_{i+1}$  has been neglected versus  $u_i$  because of  $|r_i| \le 1$ ,  $u_{i-1}$  has been replaced by  $u_i/r_{i-1}$ , and *m* is odd for Eq. (12) to secure a restoring force. Inserting this expression of  $h(u_i)$  into that of  $\tilde{e}_i$  in Eq. (11) leads to

$$(a_i^+)^{m+1} = \frac{v_i^2 |r_{i-1}|^l}{2}, \quad |v_i| = \left|\frac{v_{i-1}^l}{c^2}\right|^{1/(l+1-m)},$$
 (13)

where  $c = (\sqrt{8}/T) \int_0^1 dx/\sqrt{1-x^{m+1}}$ . Within the limit  $|r_i| \leq 1$ where Eqs. (13) are valid, the restoring force  $h_i(x)$  in Eq. (12) is odd with respect to x, which implies that  $a_i^+ = -a_i^-$ . This latter result entails that  $T^+ = -T^-$  in Eq. (5) and thence  $T = 4T^+$  for every potential V, even though  $W(u_{i-1}, u_i)$  is not even with respect to  $u_{i-1}, u_i$ . It is also inferred from Eqs. (12) and (13) that  $T \to 0$  when  $|v_0| \to \infty$ , whereas T is known to be independent of  $v_0$  for a harmonic potential.

Seeking a decreasing sequence  $\{v_{i=0,\ldots,n}\}$  requires that m-l+1>0 and l>0. In addition, l+1-m>0 is inferred from Eqs. (13). The above inequalities imply that l=m and l > 0. Hence m being odd causes l to be odd too. The sought condition for the existence of integrable breathers and standing waves then states that the Taylor expansion of the pair potential  $W(u_{i-1}, u_i)$  must include the term  $u_i u_{i-1}^l$  where the integer l > 0 is odd. Furthermore  $v_i$  is seen in Eq. (13) to behave like  $v_{i-1}^l$ . Consequently  $a_i^{\pm}$  behaves also like  $(a_{i-1}^{\pm})^l$  and  $a_i^{\pm}$  decays exponentially towards zero versus  $i \rightarrow \infty$  for l = 1 and faster than exponentially for l > 1. Given  $v_0$ , the above quoted necessary condition may prove not sufficient in two cases: (i) Equation (11) does not have any solution  $\{v_{i=0,...,n}\}$ , such that  $|v_{i+1}| < |v_i|$ ; (ii)  $2\left|\int_{0}^{x}h_{i}(y)dy\right|$  has an upper bound  $v_{M}^{2}$ . Then there is no  $a_{0}^{\pm}$ , solution of  $e_0(a_0^{\pm})=0$  for  $|v_0| > |v_M|$ .

TABLE V. Vibrational amplitudes  $a_i$  and initial velocities  $v_i$  for a p=9 standing wave sustained by potential  $W_3$ .

	0		2	2	
1	0	I	2	3	4
$a_i$	1.8079	0.36855	7.3839 <i>E</i> – 2	1.4701E - 2	2.3774 <i>E</i> -3
$v_i$	3.0512	-0.68932	0.13977	-2.7858E-2	4.5055E - 3

These conclusions will be now illustrated by several examples. As shown in the discussion of Eq. (13), it is of little significance whether  $W(u_{i-1}, u_i)$  is even or not. Therefore it has been assumed for simplicity to be even in all examples below, which implies that  $a_i = a_i^+ = -a_i^-$ . Given  $v_0$  in each studied case, we have solved Eqs. (11) for the unknown amplitudes  $a_i$  and initial velocities  $v_{i>0}$ . In all but one case, the resulting  $a_{i=0,\ldots,n}, v_{i=1,\ldots,n}$ 's have been then inserted as estimates into a shooting procedure aimed at solving Eq. (1) and converging very fast because the solution of Eq. (11) was close to that of Eq. (10).

## IV. THE l > 1 CASE

Results are given first for the l=3 potential  $W_1(u_i, u_{i+1}) = -(u_i u_{i+1}^3 + u_i^3 u_{i+1})$ . The linear approximation  $g_i(x) \approx r_i x$  works so well that the final  $a_i, v_i$  values are available with an accuracy better than  $10^{-11}$  right after solving Eqs. (11). Due to the steep decay of the vibrational amplitude observed for l=3 in agreement with Eq. (13), the results obtained for the breather and the standing waves turn out to differ only at the matching site n=4. Therefore the breather data, reported in Table I, are to be complemented by giving furthermore  $a_4=8.3588E-14$ , 4.1794E-14 and  $v_4=9.6449E-13$ , 4.8225E-13 for standing waves of wavelengths p=8 and p=9, respectively. The period T has been found equal to 0.45448.

In regard to the breather solution for the l=3 potential  $W_2(u_i, u_{i+1}) = \sin(u_i)\sin^3(u_{i+1}) + \sin^3(u_i)\sin(u_{i+1})$ , the results are gathered in Table II. The period has been found equal to 5.2045. As for  $W_1$ , these results must be complemented by  $a_4 = 1.6576E - 10$ , 8.2879E - 11 and  $v_4 = 1.6713E - 10$ , 8.3565E - 11 for p = 8 and p = 9, respectively. But as  $|\int_0^x h_i(y) dy|$  has an upper bound, there is no solution for  $v_0 \ge 2$  consistent with the above reservation (ii). Previous methods [8,9] break down because no uncoupled oscillator limit can be defined for the potentials  $W_1, W_2$ . As there is no harmonic (l=1) term in both potentials  $W_1, W_2$ , this method proves well suited to studying soft phonon-induced displacive transitions [10].

### V. THE l = 1 CASE

This quasiharmonic case is the major concern of previous works [1,2,5,9] in that they rely heavily on the presence of

TABLE VI. Vibrational amplitudes  $a_i$  and initial velocities  $v_i$  for a breather sustained by potential FPU.

i	0	1	2	3	4
$a_i$	1.3133	0.56734	7.4399E - 2	1.2402E - 2	2.0224E - 3
		0.78584	0.14832	2.5147E - 2	4.1085E - 3

TABLE VII. Vibrational amplitudes  $a_i$  and initial velocities  $v_i$  for a p=8 standing wave sustained by potential FPU.

i	0	1	2	3	4
$a_i$	1.3133	0.56737	7.4458 <i>E</i> -2	1.276E - 2	4.1614 <i>E</i> – 3
$v_i$	- 1.929	0.78596	0.14845	2.5876E - 2	8.4543 <i>E</i> – 3

traveling phonons. A typical pair potential reads  $W_3(u_i, u_{i+1}) = [\lambda(u_i - u_{i+1})^2 + u_i^4 + u_{i+1}^4]/4$ . The calculation has been done with  $\lambda = 1$ . The period has been found to be equal to 3.3153. Consistent with Eq. (13), the vibrational amplitude decays more smoothly than in the l=3 case, the matching equation in Eq. (9) causes the results, given in Tables III, IV, and V for the breather and the p=8 and p=9 standing waves, respectively, to differ slightly from one another.

The presence of a traveling phonon band, typical of the harmonic limit, entails that the existence of a solution of Eqs. (11) is still more severely restricted than for the l>1 case. Calculating  $e_0(x)$  and T for  $W_3$  yields

$$v_0^2 = \lambda (1+r_0) a_0^2 + a_0^4,$$
  
$$T = 4 \int_0^{a_0} \frac{dx}{\sqrt{(v_0^2 - \lambda (1+r_0) x^2 - x^4)/2}},$$
 (14)

where  $u_1 = -r_0 u_0$  and  $0 < r_0 < 1$ . Because  $a_i \rightarrow 0$  for  $i \rightarrow \infty$ , the anharmonic term  $u_i^4$  becomes negligible and the harmonic case is retrieved, i.e.,  $u_{i+1} = -r_\infty u_i$  where  $0 < r_\infty < 1$ is independent of *i*. In addition  $r_\infty$  and *T* are related by

$$\left(\frac{2\pi}{T}\right)^2 = \frac{\lambda}{2} \left(r_{\infty} + \frac{1}{r_{\infty}} + 2\right).$$
(15)

At large enough  $|v_0|$ , the  $a_0^4$  term in Eq. (14) overwhelms the  $a_0^2$  one, so that T increases if  $|v_0|$  is taken to decrease at fixed λ. But Eq. (15) sets an upper bound  $T \le T_M = \pi \sqrt{2/\lambda}$  where  $1/T_M$  is the highest traveling phonon frequency. Moreover, decreasing  $|v_0|$  causes  $a_0$  to decrease too and  $a_0^4$  becomes eventually negligible versus  $a_0^2$ , so that we are brought back to the harmonic case, which cannot sustain any solution of Eqs. (10). Finally for decreasing  $|v_0|$ , any localized mode, either breather or standing wave, is bound to vanish when Treaches its maximum value  $T_M$ , that is, no solution of Eqs. (10) can be degenerate with a traveling phonon. This explains why in a previous work [9] the search for a breather failed eventually while increasing T or equivalently decreasing  $|v_0|$ . Similarly if  $\lambda$  increases at fixed  $v_0$ , the localized mode disappears too because the harmonic regime is restored at large enough  $\lambda$ .

TABLE VIII. Vibrational amplitudes  $a_i$  and initial velocities  $v_i$  for a p=9 standing wave sustained by potential FPU.

i	0	1	2	3	4
$a_i$	1.3133 - 1.9289	0.56735	7.441E - 2 0.14835	1.247E - 2 2 5286E - 2	2.4299E - 3 4.9365E - 3

We focus finally on the Fermi-Pasta-Ulam (FPU) potential, which belongs in the l=1 type and has attracted recent interest [3,9]. In both works the traveling phonons available in the harmonic limit are used as a prerequisite to find breathers and standing waves and to analyze their stability. Therefore we turn to a peculiar version of the FPU potential  $W_4(u_i, u_{i+1}) = -(u_i - u_{i+1})^2/2 + (u_i - u_{i+1})^4/4$ , which cannot sustain any traveling phonon because there is no restoring force in the harmonic limit. Hence this case is well outside the purview of previous work [3,9]. Nevertheless because it satisfies the existence criterion quoted above, breathers and standing waves may still arise as induced by anharmonicity provided  $|v_0|$  or equivalently  $a_0$  is high enough so that  $W_4$  gives rise to a finite restoring force and the period is smaller than some upper bound  $T_M$ . The period has been computed to be equal to 3.0919, 3.0917, 3.0918 and other results are reported in in Tables VI, VII, and VIII for the breather and the p=8 and p=9 standing waves, respectively. As in the  $W_1, W_2$  cases, anharmonicity is seen to restore dynamical stability in an unstable lattice in the harmonic limit. This emphasizes the drawbacks of the stability analysis in the harmonic approximation [3,9,11].

## VI. CONCLUSION

Regardless of whether the uncoupled oscillator limit is available or the crystal is stable in the harmonic limit, every anharmonic potential may sustain infinitely many breathers and standing waves provided it fulfills the above stated criterion with respect to l being odd. Each vibrational mode is completely determined by a single parameter, e.g., the initial velocity  $v_0$  at an arbitrary site. In the l=1 case a vibrational mode may arise only for high enough  $|v_0|$ , which gives rise to a forbidden gap for  $T > T_M$ . These conclusions have been achieved by looking for the functions  $g_i(x)$  such that  $u_{i+1}$  $=g_i(u_i)$  and  $g_i(x)$  deviates very little from  $g_i(x) = r_i x$  even though the potential is strongly anharmonic. This prominent property has enabled us to derive an existence criterion. In addition, it offers a practical tool to assess the integrable vibrational modes. As it sheds new light on the significance of lattice stability, it also paves the way for investigating anharmonicity driven instabilities [10].

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

One of us (J.S.) would like to thank Rachel Szeftel, Jérémie Szeftel, and Judith Szeftel for providing invaluable encouragement and criticism.

- [1] T. Dauxois and M. Peyrard, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 3935 (1993).
- [2] Ding Chen, S. Aubry and G. P. Tsironis, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 4776 (1996).
- [3] P. Poggi and S. Ruffo, Physica D 1561, 1 (1997).
- [4] T. Crétegny and S. Aubry, Phys. Rev. B 55, 11 929 (1997).
- [5] S. Aubry, S. Flach, K. Kladko, and E. Olbrich, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 1607 (1996).
- [6] S. Flach, Phys. Rev. E 51, 3579 (1995).

- [7] A. J. Sievers and S. Takeno, Phys. Rev. Lett. 61, 970 (1988).
- [8] R. S. MacKay and S. Aubry, Nonlinearity 7, 1623 (1994).
- [9] J. L. Marin and S. Aubry, Nonlinearity 9, 1501 (1996).
- [10] A.P. Levanyuk, *Incommensurate Phases in Dielectrics*, edited by E. Blinc and A. P. Levanyuk (Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1986);
   D. G. Sannikov, *ibid.*; T. Janssen, *ibid.*
- [11] S. Aubry, Physica D 1560, 1 (1997).